



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/709,631	05/18/2004	Senichi Takagi	SHG-029P2	3630
26875 7590 02/08/2008 WOOD, HERRON & EVANS, LLP 2700 CAREW TOWER 441 VINE STREET CINCINNATI, OH 45202				
EXAMINER SAFAVI, MICHAEL				
ART UNIT		PAPER NUMBER		
3637				
MAIL DATE		DELIVERY MODE		
02/08/2008		PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Box 3: Contd. Proposed amendment, (e.g., amendments at line 13-15 of claim 1), would require further consideration which may result in a modified rejection under 35 USC § 112, second paragraph.

Box 11: Contd. Applicants' arguments with regard to the rejection under 35 USC § 112, first paragraph have been reviewed. However, the originally filed disclosure at paragraphs [0083] and [0084] does not set forth a "second diagonal rib formed within the other of said two hollow projecting sections is formed axisymmetrcial to said diagonal rib formed within said projecting section". It is not clear as to what specific language Applicant is basing the support for the above limitation in question. Applicant does reference Fig. 8 of the instant disclosure. But, there appears no specific description of dimensions presented within the instant specification setting forth any axis of Fig. 8. Further, Fig. 8 appears to the examiner to present a wider or thicker hollow projecting section at the lower part of Fig. 8 than at the upper part of Fig. 8. Thus it is not seen that the disclosure as originally filed provides support for "second diagonal rib formed within the other of said two hollow projecting sections is formed axisymmetrcial to said diagonal rib formed within said projecting section".

As for the language at lines 26-28 of claim 1, initially it is not seen that the hollow portion of the hollow projecting sections particularly, the upper hollow projecting section of Fig. 8 is divided by the diagonal rib 63 or 65. More particularly, the upper hollow projecting section of Fig. 8 does not appear divided by the diagonal rib 63, (see the above remarks concerning axisymmetrical). Secondly, it is not seen that a hollow

portion of the side panel section is connected to a hollow portion of each of the two hollow projecting sections, (i.e., how is one hollow portion of the side panel section connected to two different hollow portions of the two hollow projecting sections?).

In any event, even if the hollow projecting sections possess a hollow portion above as well as below the diagonal rib, (as appears in Applicants' illustration on page 9 and apparently page 10 of the response), the hollow portions that are presumably connected are not shown as divided in the same illustrations. In other words, the hollow portion of the hollow side panel section and the respective hollow portion of the two hollow projecting sections that are connected are not themselves divided by either of the respective diagonal ribs otherwise, any hollow portion might be considered as connected to any other hollow portion no matter what ribs or walls lie between them. Further, Applicants' illustration sets forth a hollow portion of the hollow sheathing section when it is the hollow portion(s) of the hollow side panel section that is recited within lines 26-28 of claim 1} To this end it is noted that claim 1 presents the hollow side panel sections as extending from the sheathing section "on a side of said sheathing section opposite to said concrete placing surface". However, with such a definition of the hollow side panel sections there can only be one "hollow projecting section" extending from any given "hollow side panel section". See for example, lines 6-8 in claim 1 as addressed in the final Office action, (lines 5-7 in proposed claim 1). See, also, lines 8-10 of proposed claim 1. In light of the above, the metes and bounds of the language of claim 1 is not understood.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to M. Safavi whose telephone number is (571) 272-7046. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon.-Fri., 8:30-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Lanna Mai can be reached on (571) 272-6867. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/M. Safavi/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3637

M. Safavi
January 26, 2008